Case 1707-cv-01799-KMW Document 33 Filed C RONNIE MORTON - Plaintiff 1221 RACE STREET Document 33 Filed 01/02/19 Page 1 of 2018 delphia PA 19107 COOPER STREETS CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY 08 RE: MORTON V. Molz CiviL Action No. 17-1799 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE KAREN WILLIAMS EASE ACCEPT this letter in A MORE FORMAL Motion to DENY PlAINTIFF Motion to Withdraw. BASED ON the Following FACTS. Plaintiff WROTE to Plaintiff Attorney AFTER PlAINTIFF DEPOSITION ON 12-14-2018 AT DEFENDANT LAW OFFICE, PlAINTIFF Advised PlAINTIFF Attorney that he would Accept a SETTLEMENT IN the AMOUNT OF \$ 200,000 FROM DEFENDANT IF NOT PlAINTIFF SEEKS PLAINTIFF ATTORNEY TO EXERCISE PlAINTIFF Rights AND PURSUE PLAINTIFFS CIVIL CHIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT IN A TRIAL by JURY PlAINTIFF VATTORNEY CALLED PLAINTIFF ON 12-18-2018 Advising PlAINTIFF to be MORE REAlistic in ACCEPTING A SETTLEMENT IN THE RANGE OF \$10,000 DUE to DEFENDANT HAS NO LEGAL MAIPRACTICE



INSUARNOE AND THAT PLAINTIFF ATTORNEY WAS WITHDRAWING AND IF I AGREED TO ACCEPT A \$10,000 SETTLEMENT PLAINTIFF ATTORNEY WOULD CONSIDER RECONSIDERING TO WITHDRAW AS PLAINTIFF ATTORNEY I AdvisEd PLAINTIFF ATTORNEY I would ACCEPT A\$10,000 SETTLEMENT. ON 12-28-2018 PIAINTIFF Attorney SENT ME A Copy OF its Motion to Withdraw Which is Without MERIT AND Highly PREDJUDICIAL to PLAINTIFF AND NEEDS to be DENIED BASED ON these FACTS, Plaintiff did Not Violate ANY OF the Stipulations in RPC1.16 OR FRCP 74
AND 102. I in Addition SEE TERRY Mc DANIEL V.

DAI ichi SANKO, INC. (CIVIL Action No. 17-3495)
AND SEE RUSINOW V. KAMARA, 920 F. Supp. 69, 71

(D. N. J. 1996, In deciding whether to permit
AN Attorney to withdraw, the Court should

Consider: (1) the REASONS Why withdrawal is

Sought; (2) the PREJUDICE WITHDRAWAL IS

CAUSE to other litigants; (3) the harm withdrawal

MAY OR Might CAUSE to the Administration of

Wustice: AND (4) the DEGREE to which withdrawal Justice: And (4) the degree to which withdrawal Will delay the RESOlution of the CASE." SEE RPC1.16(b)(1) At this late Juncture in Plaintiff CASE (PRETRIAL STAGE) IS EXTREMELY PRETUDICIAL

TO PLAINTIFF, AND PLAINTIFF has did Nothing More but Follow the Rules of this Court and his Plaintiff Attorney Advise, Plaintiff has "No" Finacial Means to obtain NEW Counsel at this Extremely Late Phase of Plaintiff Civil Cause of Action and Plaintiff is "Not" Experienced to litigate Plaintiff Claims PRO SE and given these Facts Plaintiff Request this Honorable Court to Deny Plaintiff Attorney Motion to Withdraw.

Respectfully Submitted
Ronnie Mortone
Plaintiff

CC MATHNEW WEISBERG ESQ CC MARK J. MOIZ ESQ

